

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/738,618	12/15/2000	Mark A. Henninger	00-416	2172
719	7590 • 07/15/2005		EXAM	INER
CATERPILLAR INC.			HAMILTON, LALITA M	
100 N.E. ADAMS STREET PATENT DEPT.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PEORIA, IL 616296490			3624	

DATE MAILED: 07/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 07052005			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/15/2000.	PTO-948)	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:  Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or b) objected to ction to the drawing(s) be held in abeyant the correction is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from consideration.				
Disposition of Claims  4)   Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the approximation of Claim (s) 1-8 is/are pending in the approximation of Claims	oplication.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This action is non-final.					
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>04 April 2005</i> .				
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3)  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum state of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nunication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of the atutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO will, by statute, cause the application to become A	ireply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
The MAILING DATE of this communi					
	Examiner Lalita M. Hamilton	Art Unit			
Office Action Summary	09/738,618	HENNINGER ET AL.			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			

Application/Control Number: 09/738,618

Art Unit: 3624

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Summary

On January 12, 2005, an Office Action was mailed to the Applicant rejecting claims 1-8. On April 5, 2005, the Applicant responded by amending claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The rejection has been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

The rejection has been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Falkenstein in view of HNG, as set forth in the previous Office Action.

With regard to amended claim 1, Falkenstein discloses using multiple hedging securities with different maturities and durations (p.2-4). HNG teaches a financial strategy in which swaps are used during predetermined periods of time to continuously make adjustments to investments. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the use of swaps, as taught by HNG into the invention disclosed by

Application/Control Number: 09/738,618

Art Unit: 3624

Falkenstein, as an alternative financial instrument used in the hedging process.

Claims 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Falkenstein and HNG as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of King (5,742,775), as set forth in the previous Office Action.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed April 5, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Applicant argues that Falkenstein does not disclose varying the ratio of the bond being hedged to the security in each predetermined period of time. In response, Falkenstein discloses that the ratio of the bond may be split between hedge securities having different maturities (p.7-8). The ratio of the bond being hedged to the security is varied with different maturity periods. Therefore, the Examiner is interpreting Falkenstein as reading onto the invention substantially as claimed.

In response, to the Applicant's argument that nothing in the references discloses or suggests the combination of determining a plurality of periods of time making up a life of a swap and varying the ratio of a bond being hedged in each predetermined period of time, the Examiner recognizes that references cannot be arbitrarily combined and that there must be some reason why one skilled in the art would be motivated to make the proposed combination of the primary and secondary references. *In re Nomiya*, 184 USPQ 607 (CCPA 1975). However, there is no requirement that a motivation to make the modification be expressly articulated. The test for combining references is what the combination of disclosures taken as a whole would suggest to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Application/Control Number: 09/738,618

Art Unit: 3624

In re McLaughlin, 170 USPQ 209 (SSPA 1971). References are evaluated by what they suggest to one versed in the art, rather than by their specific disclosures. In re Bozek, 163 USPQ 545 (CCPA) 1969. In this case, Falkenstein discloses that the ratio of the bond may be split between hedge securities having different maturities (p.7-8). The ratio of the bond being hedged to the security is varied with different maturity periods. HNG teaches a financial strategy in which swaps are used during predetermined periods of time to continuously make adjustments to investments. Therefore, the Examiner is interpreting the references as reading onto the invention substantially as claimed.

## Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3624

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lalita M. Hamilton whose telephone number is (571) 272-6743. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Thursday (8:30-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on (571) 272-6747. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

VINCENT MILLIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Vines Mille